### Backporting is so 1993

Ricardo Salveti - ricardo@foundries.io Michael Scott - mike@foundries.io

Embedded Linux Conference & OpenIoT Summit - Edinburgh



#### Introduction

Contents



- 1. Common IoT Product Problems
- 2. Traditional Embedded Approach
- 3. Embedded Linux
- 4. Zephyr
- 5. Working with latest software

## Common IoT Product Problems



- Long product lifetime maintenance (+10 Years)
- Always on / connected
- Large attack surface
- Complex architecture
- Continuous maintenance

# Traditional Embedded Approach



- Start out of vendor-specific BSPs
- Custom OS platform development
- Fork -> customize (hack)-> QA -> release
- In-house software update systems (when available!)

### **Traditional Product Maintenance**



- React only when really needed (criticals only)
- Cherry-pick fixes from the project stable releases
  - Backport when no stable baseline is available
- Iterate on top of a customized software stack, QA and release!







| LTS Kernel      | SoC Vendor BSP  | Distributions  | Dev Board Maker   | Product Developer             |
|-----------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------|
| From kernel.org | Board Support Package<br>adds support for<br>proprietary IP blocks -<br>source and/or binary<br>blobs | Test & Validate LTS<br>on vendor HW,<br>adding upstream<br>patches if needed | Adds support for<br>components and<br>peripherals on<br>development board | Adds own kernel IP<br>changes |

Complex and long supply chain, hard to maintain and apply updates

## Meltdown/Spectre?



Or just move to 4.14.y. Seriously, that's probably the safest thing in the long run for anyone here. And when you realize you can't do that, go yell at your SoC for forcing you into the nightmare that they conned you into by their 3+ million lines added to their kernel tree. You were always living on borrowed time, and it looks like that time is finally up.

-- Greg Kroah-Hartman



- How to identify bug fixes that should be backported?
  - Which fixes are security related?
- Complex maintenance chain across SoC/Board vendors
- Backport can also introduce new bugs and regressions
- New features might also be desired
  - e.g. Kernel Self Protection



CVE-2017-18344 - <u>https://seclists.org/oss-sec/2018/q3/76</u> Bug introduced in 3.10 and fixed in 4.15-rc4.

- Nov 30, 2017 bug reported by syzbot (4.15)
- Dec 15, 2017 fix committed upstream (4.15-rc4)
- Feb 17, 2018 fix backported to the 4.4 LTS
- Mar 15, 2018 fix added to the Ubuntu Xenial 4.4
- Jul 25, 2018 CVE requested
- Aug 2, 2018 notified linux-distros and oss-security



**USN-3741-1** introduced mitigations in the Linux kernel for Ubuntu 14.04 LTS to address L1 Terminal Fault (L1TF) vulnerabilities (CVE-2018-3620, CVE-2018-3646). Unfortunately, the update introduced regressions that caused kernel panics when booting in some environments as well as preventing Java applications from starting.



**USN-3522-1** fixed a vulnerability in the Linux kernel to address Meltdown (CVE-2017-5754). Unfortunately, that update introduced a regression where a few systems failed to boot successfully.

From a product perspective, people really have to rethink what they are doing. This technology is changing and evolving too fast for models which were invented when semiconductors were guaranteed to be available for 20 years and the change rate in technology was comparable to snail mail. The illusion to support a product for 20 years with software from 20 years ago has been destroyed long ago, but still people cling to it for any price. -- Thomas Gleixner

https://lists.linuxfoundation.org/pipermail/ksummit-discuss/2018-September/005198.html



Key components: Open Source, Secure, Modular and Connected

#### **Rewind to October 2017:**

Imagine we are a company making a connected wearable and want to use Zephyr<sup>TM</sup>. We just started development and are planning to release in October 2018.

The Plan: Fork and forget! Right!? What could go wrong?



- Initial alpha-quality thread-level memory protection on x86, user-space and memory domains
- Major overhaul to the build system and a switch from Kbuild to CMake.
- HTTP API changed to use net-app API. Old HTTP API is deprecated.
- Deprecated ZoAP library in-favor of new CoAP library
- Various fixes for: TCP, RPL, ARP, DNS, LWM2M, Ethernet, net-app API, Network shell, and BSD socket API



#### V1.11 released March 9th 2018: ~1500 commits

- Thread-level memory protection on x86, ARC and Arm, userspace and memory domains
- Native development environment on Microsoft Windows.
- Thread support via integration with OpenThread.
- Lightweight flash storage layer for constrained devices.
- LWM2M fixes and enhancements, CoAP fixes, TCP fixes, HTTP fixes, RPL fixes, Net-app API fixes, Net-shell fixes, BSD socket API fixes



#### V1.12 released June 11th: ~1950 commits

- Support multiple concurrent filesystem devices, partitions, and FS types
- kernel/sched: Fix preemption logic
- kernel: Scheduler rewrite
- Add initial WiFi management API definitions.
- Network timeout fixes, ICMPv6 error check fixes, BSD socket sample application fixes.
- Multiple BLE Mesh bugfixes and improvements



- Support for TLS and DTLS using BSD socket API
- ADC: Introduced reworked API and updated Nordic, NXP, Atmel, and Synopsys DesignWare drivers
- Bug fixes: Handle large IPv6 packets properly, IPv6 address lifetime fixes, IPv6 fragmentation fixes, DHCPv4 fixes, TCP retry, RST packet handling, and memory leak fixes, HTTP fix when sending the last chunk, MQTT fixes, LWM2M cleanups and fixes.

Upcoming movement for v1.14:

- System Logger changed to Logger (including macro name changes)
- Network APIs moving from linked list network buffer (net\_app) APIs to POSIX socket APIs
- Major rework of Timer APIs, and more!

#### Can you imagine shipping with Zephyr v1.9 from September 7th last year?

# Our Belief



### There is no such thing as secure software since security is an arms race. Therefore, the latest software is the most secure.

### Latest Software



- Easier to report and fix issues
- Faster review & testing iteration
- Less load on upstream maintainers
- Easier integration of new features
- Smaller supply chain



How do you manage large amounts of churn in a project like Zephyr?

#### It's not easy, but it's essential

• Updating a product after it's been shipped has become the norm. Consumers have more confidence in companies that respond quickly to security issues.



How do you manage large amounts of churn in a project like Zephyr?

#### **#1** Take it in Bite-sized Chunks

- Bring in "small" batches of 100 to 200 commits at a time.
- Easier to bisect regressions and understand as major changes enter the codebase.



#### **#2 Who Tests the Testers?**

- Design a test plan with a goal in mind. Don't just test things at random.
- Look at the project's unit tests and build those as often as you can.. Preferably on every upstream commit.
- Test mainline samples that demonstrate portions of your use-case.



**#3 Understand the Development Cycle** Read the Zephyr wiki program management page: <u>https://github.com/zephyrproject-rtos/zephyr/wiki/Program</u> <u>-Management</u>

There will be **A LOT** of "hazardous" commits going in during the first few weeks of new development. It then slows down and gets better as the RCs are released.

### Working with Latest: Linux



- Separation of core platform from application code
  - Allow pieces to move independently
- CI and validation ahead of releases
  - Yocto / OpenEmbedded: master and master-next
  - KernelCl.org
- Joint effort across IP, SoC and Hardware vendors for continuous upstream support and validation

Move older systems to newer kernels (current long-term stable), it includes all the latest fixes and perhaps some useful features - some security hardening, other stuff you might want to have. It is the best kernel we know how to make.

I think it is the way we're going to end up eventually, doing this rather than trying to support ancient kernels.

-- Jonathan Corbet - The Kernel Report ELC-E 2018

### **Thank You!**

