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Common Contentions in Cloud Deployments

- Minimizing Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) often leads to oversubscription
- Quality of Service (QoS) requirements
  - Service Level Agreements (SLAs) Metrics: Service Availability, Throughput, Latency, Scaling.
- Cloud vs. Network Function Virtualization Deployments
  - Optimizing CPU resource utilization often leads to Shared Resource contention
- Multi-Tenants & Automated workload placement
  - Lack of control of cache by orchestration layer
Why Is Last Level Cache Important?

- Last-Level Cache Contention Can Lead to 51% Throughput Degradation\(^1\) in Comms Workloads
- Further: Last-Level Cache Contention Can Lead to Almost 5x Performance Variation\(^1\)

NFV & RT workloads are Time Sensitive

\(^1\): Source: UC Berkeley (UCB) Tests, 2016
• Identify misbehaving applications and reschedule according to priority
• Cache Occupancy reported on a per Resource Monitoring ID (RMID) basis—Advanced Telemetry

### Key Concepts: Class of Service (CLOS)

- **Threads/Apps/VMs grouped into Classes of Service (CLOS) for resource allocation**
- **Resource usage of any thread, app, VM, or a combination controlled with a CLOS**
- **Associate threads into CLOS**
- **Hardware manages resource allocation**

#### Default Bitmask
LLC is all shared.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COS0</th>
<th>COS1</th>
<th>COS2</th>
<th>COS3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M7</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Overlapped Bitmask
LLC is partially shared.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COS0</th>
<th>COS1</th>
<th>COS2</th>
<th>COS3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M7</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Isolated Bitmask
LLC is allocated separately to individual COS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COS0</th>
<th>COS1</th>
<th>COS2</th>
<th>COS3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M7</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Determinism with LLC Management

- Workload prioritization for Co-location
  - High priority, Best effort, etc.
- Cache Quality of Service (QoS) adjustments
- Consistency in Throughput & Latency
- Noisy Neighbor avoidance
  - Ex: Content Delivery Network, etc.
Impact Analysis with OPNFV VSPERF

- OPNFV VSPERF
  - Test suite to characterize the performance of a virtual switch in the NFVi

- Define, implement and execute automated test cases

- Ability to assign and scale CPUs for VNFs

- Supports multiple traffic generators and virtual switches with various VNF deployment scenarios

*Fig: VSPERF automated test architecture*
Spirent CloudStress as Noisy Neighbor

- Web-based infrastructure validation application with REST interfaces
- Emulates real-world NFV workload
- Helps performance & capacity planning for Compute, Memory, Storage & Network I/O
- Configured for heavy memory read/write

Fig: CloudStress emulates real-world VNFs
Collectd as Metrics Collector

- Statistics collection daemon
- Uses read or write plugins to collect metrics write to an end point
- Widely adopted
- Configurable collection interval
- Configurations available through OPNFV Barometer
- Leverage Intel_RDT Collectd plugin

Fig: Collectd Architecture
OPNFV VSPERF Test Setup

- VSPERF integration with Collectd provides insight into NFVi data plane resource utilization
- VSPERF automates the deployment & benchmarking of NFVI setup
- L2 Forwarding VM used as VM under test
Performance Impact with LLC Contention

- Over 33% throughput impact with Noisy Neighbor
- Heavy performance impact to the VM under test due to LLC contention

System configuration: Based on fourth-generation Intel Xeon E5-2699 v4 @2.20 GHz processor with 22 cores, 55 MB LLC and 62 GB memory 16 1G hugepages.

*Fig: Throughput of 64B Packets*
Class of Service Construction

Cache Profile on Intel Xeon E5-v4
- CloudStress: ~52.5MB
- vSwitchd: <2.5 MB
- DPDK PMDs: ~12.5MB/PMD
- Forwarding VM: ~2.5MB

DDIO Cache: 5 MB

Optimal COS Association: OVS-DPDK overlapping VM’s LLC while each VM has dedicated LLC

Figure: Traffic flow from NIC to VMs

Permutations of LLC Allocations

- **Scheduling Considerations**
  - Node capacity of cache
  - Runtime workload sensitivity and mix

- **Overlapping COS between**:
  - Virtual switch and VMs
  - Multiple VMs
  - OS and virtual switch

- **DDIO considerations**:
  - Exclusive to VMs/OS or
  - Shared across virtual switch & VMs
Planning For Resources

- Remote analysis of resource utilization and granular resource control not optimal for latency sensitive workloads
- Real time automation requires local control of LLC resources
- Planning for your Cache:
  - Translate workload requirements to policy
  - Integration with MANO Layers
  - Automated Class Of Service construction

Require Node Level Resource Manager
RMD - A Linux daemon that:

- Runs on individual hosts
- REST API, accessible to orchestrator
- Accepts & enforces policy
- Platform Aware

Open Source: https://github.com/intel/rmd

Why Use RMD:

- Ability to use LLC as a resource
- Satisfies multiple usecases with varying resource policies

Fig: RMD interactions with Platform & MANO Layer
Policy Driven LLC Allocation with RMD

- Hide COS complexity
- Pre-constructed or run time policy changes
- Scale resources at run time

**Fig: RMD Architecture**

**Fig: LLC Policy Customizations**

- [CachePool] 
  # total 55
  guaranteed = 15
  burstable = 7.5
  besteffort = 7.5
- [OSGroup] 
  cache = 25
- [InfraGroup] 
  cache = 30
Workload Sensitivity & Policy Mapping

- Apply LLC policy at run time using RMD
  - LLC for VM under test – “Guaranteed” - 2.5 MB
  - LLC for CloudStress VMs – “Best-effort” - 2.5 MB/VM
- Re-run the performance tests

\[
\text{Run Time LLC Control via RMD Policy Mapping}
\]
Noisy Neighbor Protection

- Guaranteed LLC policy helped preserve VM performance
- Throughput improvement of ~40% without noise protection

System configuration: Based on fourth-generation Intel Xeon E5-2699 v4 @2.20 GHz processor with 22 cores, 55 MB LLC and 62 GB memory 16 1G hugepages.

**Optimal Cache Policy Ensures Deterministic Performance**

**Fig: Throughput of 64B Packets**
Welcome to Review

- Support latency sensitive platform resources
- Integration of RMD in to OpenStack & Kubernetes
- Review blueprints/upstream work:
  - https://github.com/kubernetes/community/pull/1733
  - https://review.openstack.org/#/c/568678/6
In Summary….

- Noisy Neighbor affects are real and here to persist
- Intel Resource Director Technology enables hardware infrastructure for LLC QoS control
- RMD provides real time control of latency critical hardware resources
- OPNFV VSPERF with RMD enables LLC QoS analysis for NFVi

Update Your NFVI for LLC QoS & Control
Thank You